



“Capital Requirements in a Quantitative Model of
Banking Industry Dynamics”

By Corbae and D’Erasmus

Comments by Charles M. Kahn

For Conference on Monetary Economics
honoring Warren Weber

Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta

February 17-18, 2012

- Kahn, C.M., "The Use of Complicated Models as Explanations: A Re-examination of Williamson's Late 19th Century America", *Research in Economic History*, Vol. 11, 1988, pp. 185-216.
- Williamson, J.G. *Late nineteenth-century American development: a general equilibrium history*, Cambridge University Press 1974.



Elements of the Corbae-D'Erasmus Model

- Borrowers have in aggregate an upward sloping supply of one-period risky projects
 - Returns partly depend on aggregate technology shocks
 - Limited liability
 - Return characteristics and reservation value private information
 - Higher borrowing rates induce riskier choices.



Elements of the Model

- Potential Depositors
 - Risk averse
 - Risk free storage alternative
 - Taxed lump sum
 - Matching technology for meeting borrowers or making deposits in banks



- Elements of the Model
- Banks hold loans and securities (riskless government bonds and interbank loans)
- Banks provide diversification of lending risk to depositors
- Banks borrow through deposits and interbank loans
- Banks face fixed costs and variable costs for lending
- One large monopolistic bank and a competitive fringe
- Cash can be disbursed as dividends or lent to other banks



- Elements of Model

Constraints on banks

- Capacity constraint on deposits (stochastic, identified with “liquidity shock” but assumed not binding for “big bank”)
- Limited liability
- Raising equity prohibitively expensive
- Liquidation charge for exit; charge for entry
- Regulatory constraints
 - Capital requirement relative to assets
 - Liquidity requirement relative to deposits
 - Collateral constraint for borrowing



- Bank decisions
- Big bank chooses loans, deposits and asset holdings, taking into account its monopoly power.
- Question: Infinite cost to running negative balance; doesn't that mean that dividends are zero until exit?



- Calibration
- Aggregate technology shocks follow business cycle frequencies
- For small banks, constraint on deposit size assumed always binding, with observed autocorrelation and variance of residual.
- Cost structures for banking from data
- Deposit rate from data (but is it assumed binding?)



Counterfactual:

Increase in bank capital requirements

- Simplest model:
 - Reduces the probability that a bank will subsequently default (**First order**)
 - Steers asset mixture away from high requirement activities
 - Discourages entry (**First order, but long run**)
 - May encourage immediate exit



- Simplified model
- What estimate would a value at risk model yield for the reduction?
 - Critical level of assets, probability of asset values falling below.
 - How much does the increase in capital reduce this probability (mechanically)



- Simplified Model

- How does the increase in interest rates in the model (6.50% -> 6.64% = 0.14 percentage points compare to a back of the envelope calculation?
- The cost of increased capital requirements is first of all an increase in the cost of bank lending
 - As bank capital requirements increase from 6% to 8%, productive activities decrease from 94% to 92% of bank assets.
 - Net interest rate margin x productive activities = fixed costs (zero profits).
 - Marginal cost of producing a loan 1.60% per dollar.
 - Interest rate spread 5.45%.
 - Thus loan rate should go up by $(5.45 - 1.60) \times (2/92) = .08$ percentage points.



- Of course, many other interactions in this model
- Monopoly power changing the interest rates
- Risk responses by borrowers
- Changed incentives to pay out dividends
- Changed incentives to exit
- Interactions with aggregate shocks

But which, if any, of these are important?

(Answer: Different parts for different questions)



- Conclusion
- The Corbae-D'Erasmus model is coherent and relevant, but complex.
- To understand whether it is significant, need to perform the same procedure—dissection into simpler models—on variety of specific counterfactuals.





COLLEGE *of*
BUSINESS
at ILLINOIS