

# Nonrivalry and the Economics of Data

Presenter: Christopher Tonetti based on research with Chad Jones Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta Financial Markets Conference 20 April 2019

## **Examples of Data**

- Google search history
- Amazon purchase history
- Tesla, Waymo car sensors
- Medical and genetic data
- Location history
- Speech records
- Physical action data

### How is data used in the economy?

- People make choices in uncertain environments. Data helps to reduce uncertainty. Data informs models, formally or informally.
- Many modern goods and services have at their core algorithms that make choices
- Can think of data as a factor of production
- Data improves the quality or lowers the cost of a product
  - e.g., voice recognition software, self-driving cars, medical detection algorithms
- There are many factors of production (machines, buildings, labor, land, etc.). Why is data special?

#### Data is Nonrival

- Data is infinitely usable
  - Contrast with rival goods: coffee, computer, doctor
  - Multiple engineers/algorithms can use same data at same time (within and across firms)
- Key ways that data enters the economy:
  - $\circ~$  Nonrivalry  $\Rightarrow$  social gain from sharing data
  - Privacy
  - Firm: competitive advantage ("moat")
- Social planner and consumers only care about the first two. But firms care a lot about the last one ⇒ inefficiency

# **Policies on Data Are Being Written Now**

What policies governing data use maximize welfare?

- European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)
  - Privacy vs. social gain from sharing
  - "The protection of natural persons in relation to the processing of personal data is a fundamental right"
  - "The right... must be considered in relation to its function in society..."
- The California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018
  - Allows consumers to opt out of having their data sold

## **Data Property Rights Matter**

- Key point: allocations with different degrees of data use
  ⇒ different output, welfare, etc.
- How do different property rights affect the use of data?

o "Firms own data" versus "consumers own data"

- Our research builds a mathematical model with a market for buying and selling data
- We model data as being created as the byproduct of consumption
- We study the outcomes of the economy under different ownership regimes

# Nonrivalry of Data $\Rightarrow$ Increasing Returns

- Nonrivalry implies increasing returns to scale: Y = F(D, X)
  - Constant returns to rival inputs:  $F(D, \lambda X) = \lambda F(D, X)$

• Increasing returns to data and rival inputs:  $F(\lambda D, \lambda X) > \lambda F(D, X)$ 

- When firms hoard data, a firm learns only from its own consumers
- But when firms share data, all firms learn from all consumers
  - Firms, fearing creative destruction, may not do this enough
  - But if consumers own the data, they appropriately balance data sharing and privacy

# $\textbf{Data is Nonrival} \Rightarrow \textbf{Interesting Questions}$

- Adam Smith's invisible hand breaks down in environments with nonrival goods
- Do markets produce the right amount of data?
- Why don't firms (always) sell their data?
- Who should own data as it's created?
- Implications of data nonrivalry for antitrust, economic growth, and comparative advantage across countries?

We develop a framework for thinking through these questions

#### The Economic Environment: Preferences and Technology

Utility

Flow Utility

Consumption per person

Data production

Variety resource constraint

Firm production

Data used by firm i

Data of firm *i* used by others

Data bundle

Innovation (new varieties)

Labor resource constraint

Population growth (exogenous)  $L_t = L_0 e^{g_{LL}}$ 

Creative destruction

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} L_{t} u(c_{t}, x_{it}, \tilde{x}_{it}) dt \\ u(c_{t}, x_{it}, \tilde{x}_{it}) &= \log c_{t} - \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{1}{N_{t}^{2}} \int_{0}^{N_{t}} x_{it}^{2} di - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{2} \frac{1}{N_{t}} \int_{0}^{N_{t}} \tilde{x}_{it}^{2} di \\ c_{t} &= \left( \int_{0}^{N_{t}} c_{it}^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} di \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \quad \text{with } \sigma > 1 \\ J_{it} &= c_{it} L_{t} \\ c_{it} &= Y_{it} / L_{t} \\ Y_{it} &= D_{it}^{\eta} L_{it}, \quad \eta \in (0, 1) \\ D_{it} &\leq \alpha x_{it} J_{it} + (1 - \alpha) B_{t} \quad (\text{nonrivalry}) \\ D_{sit} &\leq \tilde{x}_{it} J_{it} \\ B_{t} &= \left( N_{t}^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \int_{0}^{N_{t}} D_{sit}^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}} \quad \text{with } \epsilon > 1 \\ \dot{N}_{t} &= \frac{1}{\chi} \cdot L_{et} \\ L_{et} &+ \int_{0}^{N_{t}} L_{it} di = L_{t} \\ \text{s)} L_{t} &= L_{0} e^{g_{L}t} \\ \delta(\tilde{x}_{it}) &= \frac{\delta_{0}}{2} \tilde{x}_{it}^{2} \quad (\text{equilibrium}) \end{split}$$

# The Benevolent Social Planner

- Imagine an all-powerful benevolent social planner who makes choices about the use of data
- Imagine the planner chooses which hospitals get to see which medical scans and biopsy results
- Why might the planner want each hospital to use data collected from patients at other hospitals?
- Why might the planner not make all medical data available to all hospitals?
- In a model, we can formalize the trade-off between privacy and improved quality of medical services

# Firms Own the Data

- Imagine a world in which firms own data as it is created
- Let's think about companies trying to develop self-driving car algorithms, e.g., Tesla and Waymo
- Why might Tesla want to buy data produced by Waymo cars?
- Why might Tesla sell data produced by people driving Teslas?
  - Note, Tesla would still have they data even after selling it because data is nonrival
- Why might Tesla not sell all their data to Waymo?
- What is the social cost of limited data-sharing across firms?
  - Imagine if every car manufacturer could produce with every factory (workers, robots, machines, etc.) simultaneously

# **Consumers Own the Data**

- Imagine a world in which consumers own data as it is created
- Why might a Tesla owner want to sell data to Tesla?
- Why might a Tesla owner want to sell data to Waymo?
- Why wouldn't a Tesla owner sell all their data to all firms?
- Asymmetry between how consumer thinks about selling data broadly and how firm thinks about it
  - I don't care what is the name of the company that sells me a car, I care about the quality/price of the car
  - Firm owners do care if their company makes profits or if they go out of business

- Firms
  - use all data on own variety, ignoring consumer privacy
  - o restrict data sharing because of creative destruction
- Consumers
  - respect their own privacy concerns
  - sell data broadly, ignoring creative destruction
- Outlaw sharing
  - maximizes privacy gains
  - missing scale effect reduces consumption

# **Quantitative Results: Many Open Questions**

- We have a simple model designed to illustrate basic forces
- There are many difficulties in trying to quantify the welfare gains and losses associated with selling data across firms
  - How large are privacy costs? Utility costs per se, or concerns about firm behavior (prices and quantities)?
  - What are the returns to more data? Are we close to being saturated in data? How substitutable are different types/sources of data?
  - How concerned are firms about creative destruction due to leakage of data about their products?
  - How does the incentive to collect and create data change under different property-right regimes?

#### Implementation of Consumers own Data

- There are difficulties in understanding how to implement consumers owning data
  - Technologies, Legal frameworks, Market design
- Main takeaway is that there may be benefits to broadly using data across firms
- Broad use is technologically possible because data is nonrival
- Markets might not deliver optimal use of data without the right laws and institutions (especially an issue with nonrival goods)
- Counterpoint to the position that protecting privacy should be the single mandate for policy makers thinking about regulating data

## Implications for Industrial Organization

- Firms that use data might grow fast compared to those that don't
- Data-sharing within the firm is a force towards mergers
  - Implications for antitrust
  - Price/quantity behavior?
- Targeted mandatory sharing?
  - E.g., airplane safety (after a crash)
- What are the costs of prioritizing sharing?
  - Data as a barrier to entry
  - Markets unraveling?
  - o Incentives to collect/create data

# The Boundaries of Data Diffusion: Firms and Countries

- How does data diffuse across firms and countries?
  - Ideas eventually diffuse across firms or countries, so no country scale effect (e.g., HK vs China)
  - What about data?
- Scale effects and country size
  - Larger countries may have an important advantage as data grows in importance
- Scale effects and institutions
  - What if China mandates data sharing across state-owned firms and the U.S. has no such policy or even outlaws selling data across firms
  - What if consumers in China have different privacy concerns than in the U.S. or Europe?

# **Conclusion**

- Nonrival data ⇒ large social gain from broad use of data
- If firms own data, they may:
  - o privately use more data than consumers/planner would
  - o sell less data across firms than consumers/planner would
- Nonrivalry  $\Rightarrow$  Laws that outlaw sharing could be very harmful
- Consumers owning data good at balancing privacy and sharing